UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,985	08/18/2006	Moritz Bunemann	VOSS:008US/10506359	2063
	7590 12/28/201 & JAWORSKI L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
600 CONGRES SUITE 2400		PAK, MICHAEL D		
AUSTIN, TX 7	'8701		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

aopatent@fulbright.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/538,985	BUNEMANN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Pak	1646
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Ju</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-31 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-23 and 26-30 is/are 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-10,24,25 and 31 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	re withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 1646

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 20, 2010 has been entered.

## Response to Amendment

- 2. Amendment filed January 22, 2010 has been entered.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed January 22, 2010, have been fully considered but they are not found persuasive.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-10, 24-25 and 31 are being examined. Claims 11-23 and 26-30 have been withdrawn. Claim 3 has been cancelled.
- The Declaration of Carsten Hoffmann under 37 CFR 1.132 filed January 25,
   2010 is sufficient to overcome the enablement rejection of claims based upon 35 USC
   112 paragraph one.

Art Unit: 1646

## Claim Objections

6. Claims 6, 24 and 25 is objected to because of the following informalities. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 6 recite the term "origen" which appears to be a misspelling of origin.

Claims 24 and 25 are dependent on non-elected claims which is confusing and can only be examined in part.

Applicants traverse the rejection indicating that the non-elected claims are still pending. However, the objection is maintained for the reason stated above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-2, 4-10, 24-25 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 recite claim 3 which is cancelled which is confusing and ambiguous.

Claims recite or encompass the amended claim terms "G-protein coupled receptor of human or mouse origen" which is ambiguous and the metes and bounds of the terms are not clear. The claims are not limited by structural limitations and it is not clear when a receptor is of human or mouse origin because the receptor have mutations which changes the structure of the receptor from the originally isolated receptor. Once the structure is changed then it is not clear when the receptor belongs either mouse or human origin since it is not in the original structure anymore. The structural limitations which makes a receptor a mouse or a human origin is not known and the metes and bounds is not clear when a receptor meets these structural limitations.

Claims recite or encompass the term "stringent hybridization conditions" or "stringent conditions" in claims 9 and 10 which is a relative term whose metes and bounds are not clear. Applicants argue that specification on page 23 provide support for the claim amendments. However, the term is not defined in the specification on page 23. Furthermore, stringent hybridization conditions have specific temperature and salt conditions as well as washing conditions. The metes and bounds are not clear because it is not clear when a condition is stringent or any other term which describes a hybridization condition. The nucleic acid hybridization conditions are based on Cot1/2 determination of reassociation and there is not an absolute correlation between the hybridization conditions and Cot1/2. The reassociation kinetic is a statistical average and there are structurally unrelated nucleic acids which bind including nonspecific binding molecules. Thus, the nucleic acid which would encode a protein after stringent hybridization condition is unclear. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 24-25 and 31 encompass the term.

Art Unit: 1646

Applicants argue that the term is well known in the art as evidenced by the enclosed excerpt from the Sambrook laboratory manual. However, there is no discussion of the term "stringent hybridization conditions" in the excerpt.

- 8. No claim is allowed.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pak whose telephone number is 571-272-0879. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol can be reached on 571-272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1646

/Michael Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646